

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/392,254	09/09/1999	YASUO YAMANAKA	0557-4758-3	9859
22850 7	7590 05/24/2002			
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT PC			EXAMINER	
FOURTH FLC 1755 JEFFERS	OOR SON DAVIS HIGHWAY		PAULRAJ, CHRISTOPHER	
ARLINGTON.	I, VA 22202 -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	
			DATE MAILED: 05/24/2002	//

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					
	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
	09/392,254	YAMANAKA ET A	AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher G. Paulraj	1773			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	t with the correspondence ac	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of ld will apply and will expire SIX (6) N ute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this of e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	1 April 2002 .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ ⁻¹	This action is non-final.				
 Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims 			he merits is		
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-16 and 25-29</u> is/a	are withdrawn from consi	deration.			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-20 and 22-24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
 8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 	or election requirement.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	oor				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc		w the Evaminer			
					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in	n Application No			
Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	l Stage		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.	.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).		
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome 					
Attachment(s)	-				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (P			
Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/392,254

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The amendment filed on April 11, 2002 has been entered. Claims 1-29 are pending.
- 2. Claims 1-16 and 25-29 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 6.
- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17-20 and 22-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 17-20 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanematsu et al. (U.S. Patent 6,287,504) in view of Hirofumi et al. (JP 06-315961).

Kanematsu et al. discloses a plastic molding used in an optical device. The reference describes prior art molded articles in which desired sinking occurs on a particular surface (equivalent to the imperfect transfer porition) (col. 7, lines 1-7, Fig. 3A-

Application/Control Number: 09/392,254

Art Unit: 1773

5B). Hirofumi et al. discloses an injection molded part with multiple sink marks. One skilled in the art would have found it obvious to include multiple imperfect transfer portions in the molded article of Kanematsu et al. The motivation for doing so would have been to optimize and control the sinking of the final molded product.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 21 is allowable over the prior art. The closest prior art, Kanematsu et al., does not reasonably teach or suggest applying the imperfect transfer portion between the transfer surface and the second transfer surface so as to have a contour disposed apart from edges of both the transfer surface and the second transfer surface.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/392,254

Art Unit: 1773

Page 4

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher G. Paulraj whose telephone number is (703) 308-1036. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine Copenheaver can be reached on (703) 308-1261. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-0661.

cgp May 20, 2002

> BLAINE COPENHEAVER SUPERVISORY PAYENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700